

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,371	05/30/2000	NOBUYA SATO	0327-0840-3	8292
22850	7590 09/23/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/555,371	SATO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher C Pratt	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M						
, <del></del>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/555,371

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed 6/20/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's amendment is not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGuire et al (6254965 B1) in view of Akahori it al (5310587) and Asahi (JP 404154579A), as set forth in the previous two actions.

Applicant has amended the independent claim to include a hydrophobic meltblown fabric adjacent to a hydrophobic spunbond fabric. Applicant argues that the references cited by the examiner fail to teach this limitation. Applicant is correct in that McGuire fails to teach this limitation. McGuire teaches a storage wrap comprising multiple individual nonwoven webs, but is silent with respect to the details of these webs (col. 4, lines 57-58 and col. 17, lines 11). In order to practice the invention of McGuire the skilled artisan would need suggestions from the prior art concerning the specifics of nonwoven webs used in storage wraps.

Akahori teaches a storage wrap comprising a hydrophobic meltblown nonwoven fabric laminated to a hydrophobic spunbond nonwoven fabric (col. 3, lines 35-40). It

Application/Control Number: 09/555,371

Art Unit: 1771

would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the meltblown/spunbond laminate of Akahori in the storage wrap of McGuire. Such a combination would have been motivated by the desire to successfully practice the invention of McGuire while improving its strength.

Akahori also teaches this hydrophobic laminate to be used in contact with food (col. 2, lines 14-18). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to contact the laminate with food. Such a modification would have been motivated by the desire to expand the commercial uses of said wrap while improving the condition of the food stored inside.

Applicant argues that McGuire would not possess the range of compressive recovery recited in claim 1. However, non-obviousness cannot be shown by attacking references individually. It is the examiner's position that the combination set forth above would inherently possess applicant's claimed compressive recovery for the reasons set forth in previous actions. Applicant has not proffered any reasoning why the compressive recovery of said combination would differ from that of the instant invention.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/555,371

Art Unit: 1771

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt September 14, 2003

CHEMYL A. JUSIKA